



Name: Anonymous

Submission date: 6/06/2025

1. Support business investment through corporate tax reform

1. What features of the Australian business environment have encouraged or restrained investment over the past 10 years?

The business environment is being starved of investment to support innovation and productivity because it is far more attractive and less risky to invest in the housing sector. I adjusted the asset value of Australian housing and land in 1990 (\$820bn) for 'normal growth' increases in CPI, population and persons per household and calculated an expected value of Australian housing and land of \$2245bn in 2020. But the capital asset value of Australian housing and land in 2020 was \$8058bn, nearly four times the 'normal growth'. Each year from 2020 to 2024, housing investment has extracted around \$400bn per annum in capital value from the Australian economy over and above expected normal growth (adjusted for CPI, population change).

Rent-seeking, low productivity property is a more attractive investment than investment in business and innovation. In our economy, returns are guaranteed, over 6%.

2. What elements of the corporate tax system encourage and/or discourage investment and risk-taking?

The tax advantages of investment in housing trump investment in industry.... high returns, low risk, little effort required.

3. Which parts of the corporate tax system do you find the hardest, or most time or cost-intensive to comply with? How could the compliance burden of the business/company tax system be reduced?

The problem is the corporate tax system can't compete with housing investment tax concessions. The problem is the corporate tax system can't compete with housing investment tax concessions. How can we encourage people to move their wealth from property to productive innovation in businesses? If housing values and investment moved in line with CPI and population, EACH YEAR an additional \$400bn would become available for investment in business and industry.

2. Reduce the impact of regulation on business dynamism

1. What areas of regulation do you see as enhancing business dynamism and resilience? What are the reasons for your answer?

The participant did not respond to this question.

2. How has your regulatory burden changed over time?

The participant did not respond to this question.

3. What regulations do you find time-consuming, overly complex or otherwise constraining business dynamism and resilience? What are the reasons for your answer?

The participant did not respond to this question.

4. Can you share any specific examples of where you think a regulator has done a good or bad job of understanding and reducing regulatory burden on businesses and why?

Cover sheet: Supporting document #1

Dwellings Land Combined
NOTE: Overall increase of 337%
over 1990-2024 is 3.6%pa