

Friday, 31st March 2017

**Hello (APRA) it's me, I was wondering if after all
these years you would like to meet.
To go over everything...**

In late 2014, the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority ('APRA') burdened the banks with a 10% investment loan growth cap and MoneyQuest Managing Director, Michael Russell, is convinced they did so without any consideration of the unintended consequences.

"Staunchly opposing prudential intervention, I have always favoured free markets to find their own equilibrium.

APRA's response to reign in investor housing has been so short-sighted, that it's further fuelled my support of uninterrupted markets.

Let's look at what APRA has really done.

They have simply abrogated the real decision making to our banks.

Think about that for a moment – APRA have instructed the banks to curb investment housing demand – something that is diametrically opposed to a banks DNA.

In the animal kingdom, it would be akin to instructing lions not to hunt antelope.

How have the banks unilaterally responded?

This really should come as no surprise (remember the lions) – they have increased investment loan interest rates!

And not just on new investment loans but on existing investment loans as well.

While APRA and the banks might think this seems like a good idea, those of us who have worked at the coalface know full-well that a 25-50 bpt increase has, and will continue to have, a zero impact in deterring investors.

Let's not even contemplate for a minute the irony that raising mortgage interest rates is actually counterproductive to mortgage stress – which is what APRA is trying to negate!

Back to unintended consequences, what we are seeing at the coalface is actually quite disturbing.

Towards the end of each month, some banks are becoming so fearful of breaching the cap they are electing to cancel purchase settlements and rebook next month.

When this happens the consequences can be particularly dire, not only for the purchasing investor but particularly for the unfortunate vendor who is often dependent upon the sale of their property to fund a simultaneous purchase. The vendor of that second purchase is then an unwilling participant in the collateral damage that is impacting far too many hardworking everyday Australians.

So let's now turn our attention to these evil property investors.

These everyday Australians who, because they are now living longer, are being encouraged by the Federal Government to self-fund their retirements.

That's right, these everyday Australian's who are investing in real estate to grow their personal wealth, are being targeted by APRA and harassed – under APRA's instructions - by the banks.

Some market commentators and almost every economist – local and overseas – have branded them virtual outlaws!

In recent weeks, I have witnessed first-hand the personal toll being inflicted on far too many of our unsuspecting clients.

My question to APRA and the Federal Government is 'Do you really want every-day Australians to stop saving and investing in property in favour of doing nothing and praying the Government can fund their massive pension liabilities in the years to come?'

After more than two decades of compulsory superannuation, predictions are that most baby boomers will be unable to fully fund their retirement and be forced to survive on the aged pension.

If only they had been encouraged to work hard and invest in Australian real estate!

At the outset, I made it very clear that I am against prudential intervention of any kind – however if APRA is intent on interfering to cool the housing market, then it must, at the very least, consult with ALL stakeholders to at least minimise the short and long term distress of everyday Australians" said Michael Russell.

About MoneyQuest

Established in 2007, MoneyQuest was founded with a clear goal – to make property ownership easy and rewarding for everyday Australians. MoneyQuest has over 50 offices across Australia helping thousands of families and investors build their financial future – from first home ownership right through to retirement. More information on [MoneyQuest](#).

Money Quest Australia Pty Ltd, Australian Credit Licence 487823.

For more information:

Michael Russell
Managing Director
MoneyQuest

Thursday 15th February, 2018

Malleable – Yes, but existing mortgage holders deserved immunity.

A little over a week ago, our Federal Treasurer called out APRA's macro-prudential controls implemented to cool housing price growth as 'malleable' and on that basis a great thing compared to changes in the tax system.

By way of background, in December 2014 APRA imposed a 10% annual growth cap on investor loans and then doubled down in March 2017 limiting interest only lending to 30% of all new residential mortgage loans.

However, what has occurred since and under their watch, is a targeted injustice perpetuated on pre-existing mortgage holders who had every right to think they would be immune given what was so publicly paraded.

Consider this for a minute.

The controls were designed to cool the growth in housing prices by limiting the flow of new investor and interest only lending.

The key word here is 'new'.

However, the Federal Government and the Council of Financial Regulators (RBA, APRA, ASIC & Treasury) have all watched from afar as existing investment & interest only mortgage holders have been smashed with interest rate increases.

Were APRA's controls ever intended to be retrospective? No.

Was the end outcome of cooling housing prices served in any way by smashing existing mortgage holders? No.

Has there been any oversight of the implementation of these controls to avoid collateral damage & personal hardship? No.

What we as mortgage brokers have seen in the last couple of years in lounge-rooms across the country is despair and ultimately just the simple question "Why?"

Why have our interest only and investment loan interest rates gone up when we have done nothing wrong but continue to meet our repayments on our loan which was already in place when APRA started to wield its stick?

These families are owed an explanation as to why they were impacted when there was zero impact on housing demand and housing prices?

Nero and the burning of Rome comes to mind here.

Now if that's not bad enough consider the following example of just how damaging macro-prudential intervention can be in the wrong hands.

"Robert obtained an interest only loan in 2013 to buy his first home in Mt Isa where he worked at a mine. Robert saved hard for his 10% deposit, stamp duty and fees and was excited to begin life as a proud home owner. Robert had the capacity to make principal and interest repayments but opted to make interest only repayments for the first couple of years to enable him to make some home improvements and settle in to life as a home owner.

Robert recently approached us again to seek an extension to his interest only term which had just expired. Robert disclosed that in the past 3 years much had changed in his personal life – all for the better he was pleased to share – but unfortunately not in the eyes of our financial regulators & financial institutions.

For Robert had become married and a father of two beautiful children. At the same time, housing prices in Mt Isa had unfortunately fallen as a consequence of the mining downturn.

Robert was nevertheless still employed at the mine, had never missed a loan repayment and still had the capacity to meet his interest only repayments. Robert unfortunately no longer had the capacity to increase his repayments to P&I until such time as his wife returned to work which was their intention in the next 12 -18 months.

Upon submitting the request to his bank outlining the genuineness of his situation, Robert like countless others in the past couple of years, received a very quick 'declined' citing APRA's controls that he must now move to P&I repayments, refinance or sell. A refinance was unfortunately out of the question for reasons previously outlined and so now this good family who have never missed a repayment and can continue to meet their interest only repayments until Sue goes back to work, must now sell and lose everything.

All because the banks are no longer accommodating previous extension of interest only terms for fear of breaching APRA's controls.

Our position here is not to argue the merit of the controls or whether Robert (and all home owners) should be on P&I repayments from the outset, but rather condemn the unintended consequences to existing mortgage holders who are not as *pliable* as the controls themselves.

In the wrong hands, macro-prudential controls will always have the capacity to be reckless, dangerous and broad-based in the carnage they cause.

Yes, housing prices are cooling and yes our financial institutions are incredibly sound but at what cost and when did we stop caring?

END

Notes to editors

About MoneyQuest

Established in 2007 and franchised in 2016, MoneyQuest was founded with a clear goal – to make property ownership easy and rewarding for everyday Australians. MoneyQuest has over 55 offices across Australia helping thousands of families and investors build their financial future – from first home ownership right through to retirement. More information on www.moneyquest.com.au.

Money Quest Australia Pty Ltd - Australian Credit Licence 487823.

For more information:

Michael Russell
Managing Director
MoneyQuest