
# *Marine Fisheries and Aquaculture*

Productivity Commission Final Report



Commonwealth of Australia 2016

**ISSN 1447-1329 (Print)  
ISSN 1447-1337 (Online)  
ISBN 978-1-74037-605-1 (Print)  
ISBN 978-1-74037-606-8 (Online)**



Except for the Commonwealth Coat of Arms and content supplied by third parties, this copyright work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia licence. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au. In essence, you are free to copy, communicate and adapt the work, as long as you attribute the work to the Productivity Commission (but not in any way that suggests the Commission endorses you or your use) and abide by the other licence terms.

Use of the Commonwealth Coat of Arms

For terms of use of the Coat of Arms visit the ‘It’s an Honour’ website: http://www.itsanhonour.gov.au

Third party copyright

Wherever a third party holds copyright in this material, the copyright remains with that party. Their permission may be required to use the material, please contact them directly.

Attribution

This work should be attributed as follows, *Source: Productivity Commission, 2016, Marine Fisheries and Aquaculture, Final Report*.

If you have adapted, modified or transformed this work in anyway, please use the following, *Source: based on Productivity Commission data, Marine Fisheries and Aquaculture, Final Report*.

An appropriate reference for this publication is:

Productivity Commission 2016, *Marine Fisheries and Aquaculture*, Final Report, Canberra.

Publications enquiries

Media and Publications, phone: (03) 9653 2244 or email: maps@pc.gov.au

| The Productivity Commission |
| --- |
| The Productivity Commission is the Australian Government’s independent research and advisory body on a range of economic, social and environmental issues affecting the welfare of Australians. Its role, expressed most simply, is to help governments make better policies, in the long term interest of the Australian community.  The Commission’s independence is underpinned by an Act of Parliament. Its processes and outputs are open to public scrutiny and are driven by concern for the wellbeing of the community as a whole.  Further information on the Productivity Commission can be obtained from the Commission’s website (www.pc.gov.au). |
|  |

|  |  | PC_inline | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 19 December 2016 | | | ***Canberra Office***  4 National Circuit , Barton  Canberra ACT 2601  GPO Box 1428  Canberra ACT 2601  Telephone 02 6240 3200  Facsimile 02 6240 3399  ***MelbourneOffice***  Telephone 03 9653 2100  www.pc.gov.au |

The Hon Scott Morrison MP

Treasurer

Parliament House

CANBERRA ACT 2600

Dear Treasurer

In accordance with Section 11 of the *Productivity Commission Act 1998*, we have pleasure in submitting to you the Commission’s final report into *Marine Fisheries and Aquaculture*.

Yours sincerely

Signature


| Melinda Cilento  Presiding Commissioner |
| --- |

Disclosure of interests

The *Productivity Commission Act 1998* specifies that where Commissioners have or acquire interests, pecuniary or otherwise, that could conflict with the proper performance of their functions during an inquiry they must disclose the interests.

Ms Melinda Cilento has advised she is the director of a number of public and private companies, none of which participated in any way in this inquiry.

# Terms of reference

I, Scott Morrison, Treasurer, pursuant to Parts 2 and 3 of the Productivity Commission Act 1998, hereby request that the Productivity Commission undertake an inquiry into the regulatory burden imposed on the Australian marine fisheries and aquaculture sectors.

**Background**

Commonwealth, State and Territory governments are responsible for the management of Australian fisheries within their respective jurisdictions. Regulations are used to implement controls, such as limits on catch or effort levels, and regulate fishing methods in order to manage Australia's fisheries in a way that meets social and economic considerations and ecological sustainability objectives.

Except where agreement is reached to the contrary, state/territory laws apply to coastal waters (up to three nautical miles) and Commonwealth laws apply from those waters out to the limit of the Australian fishing zone (200 nautical miles). As most recreational and traditional indigenous fishing activity occurs in waters within state/territory jurisdiction, state/territory administrations generally manage these forms of fishing activity.

As a result, Australia's commercial fisheries are governed by a total of eight jurisdictions (the Commonwealth, states and the Northern Territory), with each jurisdiction developing specific regimes for fisheries management, fisheries research, reporting and environmental protection. In addition, there are 59 separate arrangements under the Offshore Constitutional Settlement that determine how cross-jurisdictional stocks are to be managed. There are also four joint fisheries authorities. This regulatory environment oversees an industry that has a gross value of production of $1.3 billion per annum. It is also an industry that has been the subject of a large number of recent inquiries and reviews at many levels.

While Australia's fisheries are regarded as sustainable, reliable and safe, there is scope to improve the management of fisheries through effective and coordinated regulatory and management arrangements. This includes the streamlining of regulations, rationalising jurisdictional and offshore constitutional settlement arrangements through improved cross jurisdiction and multi-jurisdictional regulatory regimes, information and service sharing, and harmonisation of environmental, management and compliance arrangements.

While aquaculture is within scope, it was the subject of a Productivity Commission research paper in 2004 which examined existing planning and environmental regulatory arrangements. The Commission should use the findings of this research as a basis to,

inform its current inquiry rather than commencing a new investigation into issues impacting the aquaculture sector.

**Scope of the inquiry**

The inquiry will identify opportunities to increase productivity and cut unnecessary and costly regulation, including where regulations are poorly coordinated between jurisdictions. The inquiry will therefore consider whether there are opportunities to improve fisheries regulations without compromising fishery policy and environmental objectives. Consideration would be given to regulatory simplification, streamlining and consistency of arrangements across multiple jurisdictions, alternative more efficient regulatory models, the practices of the various regulators and removing unnecessary restrictions on competition.

In doing so, the inquiry will consider the value of strengthened relationships and effective and coordinated management of our fish stocks with a view to reducing the regulatory burden incurred by business, and consumers.

In undertaking the inquiry, the Commission is to have particular regard to impediments to increasing productivity and market competitiveness of the Australian fishing and aquaculture industries, including:

1. The extent to which enhanced and improved use of cross jurisdiction and multi-jurisdictional regulatory regimes, information and service sharing can improve the economic efficiency and the ecologically sustainable use and management of fisheries resources.

2 The extent to which harmonisation or integration of environmental, management and compliance arrangements could improve the effective and efficient operation of the fishing industry and delivery of fisheries policy and environmental outcomes.

3. The extent to which accreditation schemes or recognition of equivalency could reduce the regulatory burden and increase productivity.

4. The extent to which greater use of cost recovery arrangements is applicable and informs the cost of delivering fishery production, conservation and other community service obligations.

5. The extent to which fisheries management regimes align with and protect the interests of the wider community (in particular, the balance between commercial, recreational, indigenous fishing and conservation interests, and consumers' interests).

6. The extent to which fisheries management regimes support greater participation of Indigenous Australians, provide incentives to Indigenous communities to manage their fisheries, and incorporate their traditional management practices in the fishing industry.

7. The degree to which cross jurisdictional regulatory arrangements are transparent, accountable, proportionate, consistent, effective and targeted.

8. The degree to which cost effective and practical non-regulatory mechanisms could be expanded to achieve fisheries management outcomes.

The primary focus of this review will be on Commonwealth, state and territory regulation of wild capture marine fisheries. This will include the interaction of fisheries specific regulation within Commonwealth and State/Northern Territory jurisdictions. It will also need to have regard to the role of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (1999) and other fisheries- related environmental legislation that are directly relevant to Australian marine fishing.

**Process**

The Commission is to undertake an appropriate consultation process including holding hearings, inviting public submissions and releasing a draft report to the public.

The Commission will consider the submissions and reports of all recent relevant inquiries and government responses. The Commission is to take into account the initiatives at the jurisdictional level relevant to the scope of the inquiry.

The final report should be provided within twelve months of the receipt of these Terms of Reference.

Scott Morrison

Treasurer

[Received 23 December 2015]

Contents

Terms of reference iv

Abbreviations xi

Overview 1

Recommendations and findings 33

1 About this inquiry 45

1.1 The nature of fisheries regulation 45

1.2 Inquiry context 52

1.3 The Commission’s approach 53

1.4 Guide to rest of this report 54

2 Access to fisheries resources 55

2.1 Determining where fishing can occur 56

2.2 Determining limits on fishing 61

2.3 Determining allocations between fishers 70

3 Commercial fishing 85

3.1 The commercial fishing sector 86

3.2 Primary catch management techniques 96

3.3 Scope for improvement in other management and regulatory arrangements 114

3.4 Food security, sufficiency and worker safety 119

4 Recreational fishing 123

4.1 Current estimates of participation and catch 124

4.2 Towards better management 129

4.3 Control mechanisms 137

4.4 Regulatory enforcement mechanisms 149

4.5 Improving the information base 151

5 Indigenous fishing 155

5.1 Overview of Indigenous fishing 156

5.2 How customary fishing is regulated 159

5.3 Concerns with customary fishing regulation 167

5.4 Involving Indigenous Australians in fisheries management 179

5.5 Participation of Indigenous Australians in commercial fishing 181

6 Fisheries spanning jurisdictions 187

6.1 Basis of jurisdictional responsibility 188

6.2 Problems in cross-jurisdictional fisheries 192

6.3 Past reform attempts 199

6.4 Reform directions 200

6.5 Reform priorities 207

7 Managing the environmental impact of fisheries 215

7.1 Overview of the regulatory framework 216

7.2 How are regulatory arrangements working? 218

7.3 Efficiency of assessments under the EPBC Act 225

7.4 Managing protected species 232

8 Aquaculture 245

8.1 What is at issue? 246

8.2 How aquaculture is regulated 248

8.3 Aquaculture trends in Australia 249

8.4 Impacts of regulation on the aquaculture sector 254

8.5 Are the regulatory settings right for the future? 261

9 Downstream processes 267

9.1 Downstream processes and their regulation 268

9.2 Issues in the downstream sector 274

10 Other areas for improvement 285

10.1 Developing and implementing fishing controls 286

10.2 Enforcement and compliance 295

10.3 Cost recovery and contestability of services 299

10.4 Costs and outcomes of Australian fisheries management 308

A Conduct of the inquiry 311

B Cross-jurisdictional fishery case studies 319

C ITQs in practice 341

References 347

# 

# Abbreviations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ABARES | Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Sciences |
| ABS | Australian Bureau of Statistics |
| AFMA | Australian Fisheries Management Authority |
| AFMF | Australian Fisheries Managers Forum |
| AFZ | Australian Fishing Zone |
| CCSBT | Convention for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna |
| CPUE | Catch per unit effort |
| CRIS | Cost Recovery Implementation Statement |
| CSIRO | Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation |
| FAO | Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations |
| FRDC | Fisheries Research and Development Corporation |
| GVP | Gross value of production |
| IC | Industry Commission |
| ITE | Individual transferable effort |
| ITQ | Individual transferable quota |
| IUU | Illegal, unreported and unregulated (fishing) |
| MAC | Management Advisory Committee |
| MoU | Memorandum of understanding |
| OCS | Offshore Constitutional Settlement |
| OECD | Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development |
| PC | Productivity Commission |
| PIRSA | (Department of) Primary Industries and Regions (SA) |
| RBC | Recommended biological catch |
| SARDI | South Australian Research and Development Institute |
| SBT | Southern bluefin tuna |
| SESSF | Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery (Cth) |
| SETFIA | South East Trawl Fishing Industry Association |
| SPF | Small Pelagic Fishery (Cth) |
| TAC | Total Allowable Catch |
| VMS | Vessel Monitoring System |
| WAFIC | Western Australian Fishing Industry Council |