In submission for the Hospital Performance Study of the Productivity Commission (2009) please find attached papers and presentations, as referenced below. These describe and illustrate a robust framework and method for identification of peers, comparison of performance and measures of hospital efficiency allowing for costs and quality of hospital performance, with direct relevance to the fourth stated objective of the hospital performance study.

The methods and framework provide distinct advantages over other methods in allowing efficiency measurement across hospitals:

- (i) consistent with maximising net benefit, the underlying objective of evidence based medicine and service industries more generally (Graham 1981, 1992; Stinnett and Mullahy 1998; Willan and Lin 2001; Eckermann 2004; Willan and Briggs 2006; Eckermann, Briggs et al. 2008; Eckermann and Coelli 2008);
- (ii) explicitly preventing incentives for cost shifting and cream skimming in respectively addressing coverage and comparability conditions of the net benefit correspondence theorem (Eckermann 2004, 2006, 2009);
- (iii) enabling estimation of the shadow price for value of quality in current industry behaviour in the absence of prices for admission, in addition to technical, allocative, scale and economic efficiency consistent with maximising net benefit (Eckermann 2004; Eckermann and Coelli 2008).

Hence, the methods and framework allow policy to be informed of hospital efficiency in relation to use of resources, size, value of quality and overall performance and to support evidence based medicine in practice in benchmarking, peer identification and general performance measurement.

Further, the net benefit correspondence theorem provides radial properties and, hence, can be applied with any existing efficiency measurement instruments, including index methods, data envelopment analysis and stochastic frontier analysis with cross-sectional or longitudinal data.

Consequently, the methods and framework result in the best integration of cost and outcome data in comparison of performance and efficiency across public and private hospitals, allowing for quality of care and preventing incentives for cream skimming and cost shifting.

## References

Eckermann, S. (2004). Hospital Performance Including Quality: Creating Economic Incentives Consistent with Evidence-Based Medicine. PhD Dissertation. Sydney, University of New South Wales. Accessed 27 July 2009 and available at <a href="http://unsworks.unsw.edu.au/vital/access/manager/Repository/unsworks:716">http://unsworks.unsw.edu.au/vital/access/manager/Repository/unsworks:716</a>.

Eckermann, S. (2006). "Measuring performance consistent with evidence-based medicine in practice." Centre for Applied Economic Research 2006 Working Paper Series No 6 ISBN 0 7334 2327 2. Accessed 27 July 2009 and available at <a href="http://www.edu.au/fce/Research/Research/ResearchMicrosites/CAER/WorkingPaperSeries/WPS0608.pdf">http://www.edu.au/fce/Research/R

- Eckermann, S. (2009). "Measuring health system efficiency and funding for net benefit maximisation: the health economics of quality of care." Flinders Centre for Clinical Change and Health Care Research 2009-08. Accessed 27 July 2009 and available at <a href="http://clinicalchange.flinders.edu.au/2009-">http://clinicalchange.flinders.edu.au/2009-</a> %20Measuring%20health%20system%20efficiency%20%20funding%20\_2\_.pdf
- Eckermann, S., A. Briggs, et al. (2008). "Health Technology Assessment in the Cost-Disutility Plane." <u>Medical Decision Making</u> **28**(2): 172-181.
- Eckermann, S. and T. Coelli (2008). "Including quality attributes in a model of health care efficiency: A net benefit approach." Center for Efficiency and Productivity Analysis

  Working Paper Series No. WP03/2008 ISSN No. 1932-4398. Accessed 27 July 2009 and available at http://www.uq.edu.au/economics/cepa/docs/WP/WP032008.pdf
- Graham, D. (1981). "Cost-Benefit Analysis Under Uncertainty: the Net Benefit Criteria." <u>American</u> Economic Review **71**(715-725).
- Graham, D. (1992). "Public Expenditure Under Uncertainty: The Net- Benefit Criteria." <u>The American Economic Review</u> **82**(4): 822-846.
- Stinnett, A. A. and J. Mullahy (1998). "Net Health Benefits: A New Framework for the Analysis of Uncertainty in Cost-Effectiveness Analysis." <u>Medical Decision Making</u> **18** (**Suppl**)(2): S68-S80.
- Willan, A. R. and A. H. Briggs (2006). <u>The Statistical Analysis of Cost-Effectiveness Data</u>. Chichester UK, John Wiley & Sons.
- Willan, A. R. and D. Y. Lin (2001). "Incremental net benefit in randomized clinical trials." Statistics in Medicine **20**: 1563-1574.

## **Presentations**

- Eckermann, S (2008) "A tasting of Health Economics from Theory to Practice: linking Research, Reimbursement and Regulation", Office of Health Economics, London 21 July 2008.
- Eckermann, S. (2009) "Health Economics from Theory to Practice: creating incentives for net benefit maximisation within a budget", SA Department of Health, Adelaide 17 June 2009.