Australian Food and Grocery Council SUBMISSION

25 NOVEMBER 2009

TO:

PRODUCTIVITY COMMISSION

IN RESPONSE TO:

BUSINESS REGULATION BENCHMARKING STAGE 2:

FOOD SAFETY



PREFACE

The Australian Food and Grocery Council (AFGC) is the leading national organisation representing Australia's food, drink and grocery manufacturing industry.

The membership of AFGC comprises more than 150 companies, subsidiaries and associates which constitutes in the order of 80 per cent of the gross dollar value of the processed food, beverage and grocery products sectors. (A list of members is included as Appendix A.) AFGC represents the nation's largest manufacturing sector. By any measure our members are substantial contributors to the economic and social welfare of all Australians. Effectively, the products of AFGC's member companies reach every Australian household.

The industry has annual turnover of \$100 billion and represents 28 per cent of total manufacturing turnover. It is comparable in size to the Australian mining sector, and is more than four times that of the automotive sector. The industry employs more than 315 000 people, half of whom are based in rural and regional Australia. The food manufacturing sector sources more than 90 per cent of its ingredients from Australian agriculture.

AFGC's agenda for business growth centres on public and industry policy for a socioeconomic environment conducive to international competitiveness, investment, innovation, employment growth and profitability.

AFGC's mandate in representing member companies is to ensure a cohesive and credible voice for the industry, to advance policies and manage issues relevant to the industry enabling member companies to grow their businesses in a socially responsible manner.

The council advocates business matters, public policy and consumer-related issues on behalf of a dynamic and rapidly changing industry operating in an increasing globalised economy. As global economic and trade developments continue to test the competitiveness of Australian industry, transnational businesses are under increasing pressure to justify Australia as a strategic location for corporate production, irrespective of whether they are Australian or foreign owned. In an increasingly globalised economy, the ability of companies to internationalise their operations is as significant as their ability to trade globally.

Increased trade, rationalisation and consolidation of businesses, increased concentration of ownership among both manufacturers and retailers, intensified competition and increasingly complex and demanding consumers are features of the industry across the globe. Moreover, the growing global middle class of consumers is more sophisticated and discerning, driving innovation and differentiation of products and services.

AFGC is working with governments in taking a proactive approach to public policy to enable businesses to tackle the threats and grasp the dual opportunities of globalisation and changing consumer demands.

GENERAL COMMENTS

The Australian Food and Grocery Council (AFGC) welcomes the opportunity to make a submission to the Productivity Commission in response to the Draft Research Report: *Performance Benchmarking of Australian and New Zealand Business Regulation: Food Safety* (the Draft Report").

AFGC supports the report and considers that overall Commission has recognised the fragmented and complex nature of Australia's food policy and regulatory system. The Draft Report demonstrates the complexity for companies operating nationally, and bi- nationally, in dealing with detailed and varying demands of 10 governments and regulatory requirements of around 20 departments.

Development of food policy and regulation is hampered by jurisdictions having different expectations and priorities and institutional arrangements and a lack of national uniformity in food regulations, and this is further exacerbated at local levels. The report provides insights into the difficulties and significant impacts on industry in dealing with the various demands of different local governments, ranging from substantial differences in fees and charges to the differences in inspections, provision of food handler training and the lack of resources provided to influencing a culture of compliance. For example, in April 2008 Kogarah Council in Sydney's south attempted to take unilateral action to ban cafes, restaurants and take-away outlets from using fats and oils containing trans- fats1.

AFGC supports the inevitable conclusion of the Draft Report that food businesses in Australia operate against a backdrop of inconsistency and added administrative costs, and that the food regulatory system imposes opportunity costs on the food industry from delays in decisions to launch new products due to uncertainty regarding the regulatory response.

1. Lack of demonstrably outcome measures of food safety

AFGC supports the conclusion of the Draft Report that it is difficult to use the outcome data provided to draw conclusions of the performance of food safety regulations. While the justification of the Australia New Zealand Food Regulation Ministerial Council for introducing mandatory food safety standards was the need to reduce the incidence of food-borne illness and food poisoning outbreaks in the community, there is little evidence that this has been achieved.

In the period from 2001 to 2008 the Australian data shows that the incidence of Campylobacteriosis, Salmonellosis and, Shigellosis appear to be largely static, few food poisoning outbreaks occur as a result of commercial food manufacturers, and incidence of food recalls appears to have spiked in 2003 and remains relatively stable at around 50 per year.

One of the difficulties in assessing the food recall data is that there are relatively few events. A small change in the number of recalls can significantly alter the percentage of a category of recalls. For example, in 2007 bacterial contamination accounted for 38% of recalls, a jump up from 28% of recalls in 2006. Yet the actual number of recalls was 19 in 2007 versus 16 in 2006, a difference of 3.

In addition, the data does not discriminate between recalls of imported food and recalls of Australian manufactured foods. If the objective of the Draft Report is to consider the impact of the food safety regulations on Australian manufacturers, then it should exclude recalls due to imported foods.

While noting the limitations of the data, the question as to whether the cost borne by industry and enforcement agencies has resulted in a demonstrable benefit to the community needs to be considered. If there are questions around the validity and accuracy of the data, consideration must be

¹ http://www.dailytelegraph.com.au/news/nsw-act/council-cooks-up-trans-fat-ban/story-e6freuzi-1111116172553

given as to how this can be improved and what would be more appropriate outcome indicators. If indicators of disease, outbreaks, and recalls are backward looking and fail to recognize the otherwise good performance of industry in meeting food safety objectives, what measures could be introduced that provide a high degree of transparency and are nationally reportable?

2. Lack of national consistency between jurisdictions

Model Food Bill

AFGC supports the research and finding of the Draft Report that the Model Food Bill has not been consistently adopted across jurisdictions in Australia, and that there are significant differences in the substance and the drafting of the State legislation. These differences in turn impose substantial and unnecessary costs on companies operating at a national level, requiring industry to have a high degree of familiarity, and the ability to interpret the requirements for eight different sets of regulations, rather that a single uniformly adopted Model Food Bill.

AFGC notes the comments provided to the commission by jurisdictions attempt to justify the variation as providing a better regulatory outcome for their jurisdiction which is at odds with other statements that they have a "commitment to reduce regulatory burden" and ensure public health and safety. In particular, AFGC notes the views of Queensland (page 397 of the Draft Report) which states that "the[Queensland] Food Act 2006 does not imposes additional regulatory requirements, despite the Commissions findings that the Act contains nearly twice as many provisions as the Model Act". AFGC remains concerned that jurisdictions fail to understand that variation in regulation between jurisdictions imposes additional cost on industry in having to employ specialist regulatory compliance staff, take additional measures to ensure compliance , and apply different measures to company operations in each of the jurisdictions.

Business environment

Protection of public health and safety must remain paramount in food standards, but for other issues of less importance to consumers the interests of the industry should receive a greater consideration as ultimately, the whole community will benefit if food industry remains profitable and competitive.

The Draft Report highlights considerable differences in the approaches by jurisdictions to enforcement priorities (Page 170). While these approaches are consistent with the differences between jurisdictions in the allocation of state government funding and resources, it also highlights the difficulties that arise. A concern in the high level of expenditure on sampling, testing, investigations and Audits is the lack of justification, effectiveness or national coordination of enforcement activities. In contrast, most jurisdictions give medium to low enforcement priority to labelling enforcement.

Self- and co- regulatory approaches

There is a strong case for combined and complementary regulatory and self- and co- regulatory approaches in food safety, food composition, and food labelling. The industry has several examples of effective codes of practice and would welcome opportunities to explore their greater use, provided they are fully effective at meeting their objectives and high levels of compliance can be secured.

The regulatory burden on the food industry and governments in Australia can be substantially reduced by reforming regulatory arrangements, and for government to consider the legitimate role of industry to undertake self-regulation. This requires jurisdictions to accept that industry has a role to play and to be prepared to work as partners rather than as adversaries in developing joint industry-government approach.

Industry recognises that developing processes and systems that enable greater recognition by both government and industry of food safety audits undertaken by appropriately qualified and certified personnel has the potential to improve regulatory compliance while reducing the overall compliance burden.

The National Food Safety Auditor Certification Scheme has been developed to provide recognition for auditors of HACCP-based food safety management systems broadly across the food industry and also in specific industry sectors. The scheme has been designed with considerable input from manufacturers, retailers and enforcement agencies and is designed to enable independent third party audit reports to be accepted across industry and government. In effect, one audit permits the audit report to be used for multiple stakeholders instead of having to have multiple audits. In the NFSA scheme, applicants may also seek certification to Industry-based Scopes based on risk levels and consistent with their prior experience in working in the industry.

The NFSA Scheme identifies the criteria necessary to determine an Applicant's competency to perform food safety audits. These criteria include the requirement for the Applicant to demonstrate specific knowledge, skill, personal attributes and qualifications. The culmination of this work is a food safety auditor certification scheme designed and endorsed by industry; delivering a high caliber of food safety auditor to support the whole supply chain; and recognized nationally and internationally.2

The scheme was designed to be consistent with the provisions of the Model Food Bill, which provides for the ability of jurisdictions to recognise an "authorised officer" to be able to carry out a regulatory audit. It was intended that in developing a personnel certification scheme which assessed the skills and competency of the food safety auditor, jurisdictions would be able to accept third party audit reports provided by such auditors and thereby reduce the compliance burden on both industry and government. However, such a scheme has yet to be put in place by jurisdictions and this appear to be further hampered by jurisdictional inconsistencies in the adoption of the Model Food Bill.

² RABQSA Personal Certification, http://www.rabgsa.com/cb nfsa.html [accessed 25/11/ 2009]

SUBMISSION

AFGC MEMBERS AS AT NOVEMBER 2009

Arnott's Biscuits Limited
Snack Foods Limited

The Kettle Chip Company Pty Ltd Asia-Pacific Blending Corporation Pty

Ltd

Barilla Australia Pty Ltd Beak & Johnston Pty Ltd BOC Gases Australia Limited Bronte Industries Pty Ltd

Bulla Dairy Foods

Bundaberg Brewed Drinks Pty Ltd

Bundaberg Sugar Limited

Cadbury Schweppes Asia Pacific

Campbell's Soup Australia Cantarella Bros Pty Ltd

Cerebos (Australia) Limited

Christie Tea Pty Ltd Clorox Australia Pty Ltd

Coca-Cola Amatil (Aust) Limited SPC Ardmona Operations Limited

Coca-Cola South Pacific Pty Ltd
Colgate-Palmolive Pty Ltd

Coopers Brewery Limited
Dairy Farmers Group

Danisco Australia Pty Ltd

Devro Pty Ltd

DSM Food Specialties Australia Pty

DSM Nutritional Products

Earlee Products

Ferrero Australia Fibrisol Services Australia Pty Ltd

Fonterra Brands (Australia) Pty Ltd

Foster's Group Limited

Frucor Beverages (Australia)

General Mills Australia Pty Ltd

George Weston Foods Limited

AB Food and Beverages Australia

AB Mauri

Cereform/Serrol

Don

GWF Baking Division

George Weston Technologies

Jasol

Weston Cereal Industries

GlaxoSmithKline Consumer

Healthcare

Golden Circle Limited

Goodman Fielder Limited

Meadow Lea Australia

Quality Bakers Aust Pty Ltd

H J Heinz Company Australia Limited

Harvest FreshCuts Pty Ltd

Hoyt Food Manufacturing Industries Pty Ltd

Johnson & Johnson Pacific Pty Ltd

Pfizer Consumer Health

Kellogg (Australia) Pty Ltd

Day Dawn Pty Ltd

Specialty Cereals Pty Ltd

Kerry Ingredients Australia Pty Ltd

Kikkoman

Kimberly-Clark Australia Pty Ltd

Kraft Foods Asia Pacific

Lion Nathan Limited

Madura Tea Estates

Manildra Harwood Sugars

Mars Australia

Mars Food

Mars Petcare

Mars Snackfood

McCain Foods (Aust) Pty Ltd McCormick Foods Aust. Pty Ltd

Merisant Manufacturing Aust. Pty Ltd

National Foods Limited

Nerada Tea Pty Ltd

Nestlé Australia Limited

Nestlé Foods & Beverages

Nestlé Confectionery

Nestlé Ice Cream

Nestlé Nutrition

Foodservice & Industrial Division

Novartis Consumer Health Australasia

Nutricia Australia Pty Ltd

Ocean Spray International Inc

Parmalat Australia Limited

Patties Foods Pty Ltd

Peanut Company of Aust. Limited

Procter & Gamble Australia Pty Ltd

Gillette Australia

PZ Cussons Australia Pty Ltd

Queen Fine Foods Pty Ltd

Reckitt Benckiser (Aust) Pty Ltd

Ridley Corporation Limited

Cheetham Salt Limited

Sanitarium Health Food Company

Sara Lee Australia

Sara Lee Foodservice

Sara Lee Food and Beverage

SCA Hygiene Australasia

Sensient Technologies

Simplot Australia Pty Ltd

Spicemasters of Australia Pty Ltd

Stuart Alexander & Co Ptv Ltd

Sugar Australia Pty Ltd

SunRice

Swift Australia Pty Ltd Tate & Lyle ANZ The Smith's Snackfood Co.

The Wrigley Company

Tixana Pty Ltd

Unilever Australasia

Wyeth Australia Pty Ltd

Yakult Australia Pty Ltd

Associate Members

Accenture

Australia Pork Limited

Australian Dietetic Services

ACI Operations Pty Ltd

ACI Operations Pty Ltd
Amcor Fibre Packaging

CAS Systems of Australia

CHEP Asia-Pacific

Concurrent Activities

CoreProcess (Australia) Pty Ltd

Dairy Australia

Exel (Aust) Logistics Pty Ltd

Food Liaison Pty Ltd

FoodLegal

Food Science Australia

Foodbank Australia Limited

IBM Business Cons Svcs

innovations & solutions

KPMG

Leadership Solutions

Legal Finesse

Linfox Australia Pty Ltd

Meat and Livestock Australia Limited

Monsanto Australia Limited

New Zealand Trade and Enterprise

Promax Applications Group Pty Ltd

Sue Akeroyd & Associates

Swisslog Australia Pty Ltd

The Nielsen Company

Touchstone Cons. Australia Pty Ltd

Visy Pak

Wiley & Co Pty Ltd

PSF Members

Amcor Fibre Packaging
Bundaberg Brewed Drinks Pty Ltd
Cadbury Schweppes Asia Pacific

Coca-Cola Amatil (Aust) Limited

Foster's Group Limited

Golden Circle Limited

Lion Nathan Limited

Owens Illinois Visy Pak

Level 2, Salvation Army House 2–4 Brisbane Avenue Barton ACT 2600

Locked Bag 1 Kingston ACT 2604

T: (02) 6273 1466 F: (02) 6273 1477 afgc@afgc.org.au www.afgc.org.au

