# R&D, Human Capital and Growth

Steve Dowrick Australian National University

## Primary Sources of Growth in Labour Productivity

#### Accumulation of physical capital

- ultimately subject to diminishing returns
- hence it affects level of growth path, not its slope

#### Accumulation of embodied human capital

- through education / training / learning-by-doing
- limited perhaps by finite human capabilities

#### Accumulation of ideas (technological progress)

- through R&D
- through national spillovers
- through international spillovers

#### Evidence on Human Capital

## Microeconomic studies of education and earning

#### 1. Estimated impact of an additional year of school on wages

Ashenfelter and Krueger USA 9% to 16%

Miller, Mulvey and Martin Australia 4.5% to 8.3%

Lee and Miller Australia 9.2%

#### 2. Estimated impact of attained schooling on wages

|                       | Borland,<br>Hirschberg and<br>Lye | Preston |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Completed high school | 7.1%                              | 13.4%   |
| Certificate           | 28.5%                             | 26.9%   |
| Diploma               | 20.3%                             | 55.9%   |
| Degree                | 46.2%                             | 89.3%   |

## Macroeconomic Evidence on Schooling and Growth in OECD countries

Predicted increase in the level of output for an additional year of national average schooling

| STUDY                          |          |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Bassanini and Scarpetta (2002) | 6 %      |
| Mankiw <i>et al.</i> (1992)    | 6% - 15% |

# Evidence on Increase in Productivity Growth Due to an Additional Year of Schooling

(through international technological spillovers for a country at 2/3 of US productivity level)

| Benhabib and Spiegel (1994) | 0.3 % p.a.       |
|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Frantzen (2000)             | 0.8 % p.a.       |
| Dowrick & Rogers (2002)     | 0.2 – 0.5 % p.a. |

## Research and Development Industry-Level Evidence

### Private and Social Marginal Rates of Return on Investment in US Manufacturing Industries, 1985

(before tax, net of depreciation)

|                          | Investment in<br>Physical Capital | Investment in R&D |                             |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
|                          | Private Returns                   | Private Returns   | Social Returns <sup>1</sup> |
| Chemical Products        | 20%                               | 22%               | 46%                         |
| Fabricated Metal         | 21%                               | 21%               | 21%                         |
| Non-electrical Machinery | 24%                               | 25%               | 40%                         |
| Electrical Products      | 18%                               | 27%               | 31%                         |
| Transport Equipment      | 26%                               | 23%               | 35%                         |
| Scientific Instruments   | 28%                               | 28%               | 86%                         |

Source: Bernstein and Nadiri (1991) Table 6

1. 'Social' returns are defined as private returns plus spillovers to the other industries covered in the study.

#### **International Evidence**

|  |   | Private Rate of<br>Return | Social Rate of<br>Return | Cross-<br>country Spillover<br>Elasticity |
|--|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Lichtenberg and<br>Siegel (1991)               | i) survey of 15 previous studies of US firms and industries | 25%                       |                          |   |
|  | ii) 2000 US firms   | 30%                       |                          |   |
| Nadiri (1993)                                  | survey of 50 US studies of firms and industries             | 20% to<br>30%             | 50%                      |   |
| Lichtenberg and<br>van Pottelsberghe<br>(1996) | GDP growth across OECD countries                            |                           | 51-63%                   |   |
| Coe & Helpman<br>(1995)                        | OECD countries, pooled time-series 1971-90                  |                           | 85% <sup>1</sup>         | 6% <sup>2</sup>                           |
| Frantzen (2000)                                | Business sector TFP growth across<br>OECD countries         |                           | 59%                      |   |
| Lichtenberg and<br>van Pottelsberghe<br>(1998) | TFP across OECD   |                           |                          | <b>5%</b> – <b>11%</b>                    |

### **Concluding Comments**

- Investment in both education and R&D promote productivity growth
- There is evidence of significant spillover effects from education in its role as an enabler of technological diffusion
- There is also evidence of substantial national and international spillovers of R&D
- These spillover effects are not captured by standard growth accounting methods